Editor's History Hi

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Laura L. Kiessling University of Wisconsin, Madison

BOARD OF EDITORS

Jennifer A. Doudna

University of California, Berkeley

Kai Johnsson

Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

Anna K. Mapp

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

Michael A. Marletta

University of California, Berkeley

James R. Williamson The Scripps Research Institute

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Carolyn R. Bertozzi

University of California, Berkeley

Brian T. Chait

Rockefeller University

Tim Clackson

ARIAD Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Jon C. Clardy

Harvard Medical School

Beniamin F. Cravatt

The Scripps Research Institute

Peter B. Dervan

California Institute of Technology

Rebecca W. Heald

University of California, Berkeley

Tony Hunter

Salk Institute

Richard H. Kramer

University of California, Berkeley

Rolf Müller

Saarland University/Helmholtz Institute for Pharmaceutical Research Saarland

Joseph P. Noel

Howard Hughes Medical Institute, The Salk Institute for Biological Studies

Thomas V. O'Halloran

Northwestern University

Hiroyuki Osada RIKEN

Anna M. Pyle Yale University

Ronald T. Raines

University of Wisconsin, Madison

Charles Sawyers

University of California, Los Angeles

Stuart L. Schreiber

Harvard University

Carsten Schultz

EMBL

Peter G. Schultz

The Scripps Research Institute

Michael P. Sheetz

Columbia University
H. Ulrich Stilz

Sanofi-Aventis, Frankfurt

Hiroaki Suga

The University of Tokyo

Wilfred A. van der Donk

Howard Hughes Medical Institute, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Christopher T. Walsh

Harvard Medical School

Omics in the Postgenomic Era

his year marks the 10th anniversary of the completion of the draft sequence of the human genome. Technological advances over the past decade now allow genomes to be sequenced at breathtaking speed for a fraction of the cost required even a few years ago.

Side by side, the number of *-omic* terms signifying the study of respective *-omes* has exploded to the point that it is difficult to keep track of the scientific terminology. Even a cursory search of academic bibliographic databases reveals numerous examples. And indeed, there is even *OMICS: A Journal of Integrative Biology* dedicated to the *-omes*.

The original *-ome*, the *genome*, was derived 90 years ago from German botanist Hans Winkler's *genom*. The word genome, a portmanteau of *gene* and *chromosome*, defines the sum of genes in a particular set (1). Curiously, even though the term genome is widely accepted in scientific circles, many media outlets avoid using it, preferring to use "genetic code" instead. For example, in discussing research on somatic rearrangements in the cancer genome, the BBC erroneously declared that scientists had cracked the "entire 'genetic code' of cancer" (2).

Nonetheless, because *genomics*, the study of genomes, was etymologically unrelated to other similar words (such as economics), for many years, it was in a class of its own.

All that changed in the early 1990s. The *proteome*, a term analogous to the genome, was coined to describe the sum of proteins. Within years, scientists started talking about *glycomes*, *lipidomes*, *RNomes*, and *metabolomes*. From genomics came terms such as *metagenomics*, *toxicogenomics*, and *pharmacogenomics*. The study of specific proteins led to the creation of words such as *kinomics*, *degradomics*, and *metalloproteomics* (not to be confused with *metallomics*). Soon scientists were well aware of the differences between *proteomics* and *peptidomics*, and *metabolomics* and *metabonomics*. But there were less clear distinctions between other terms such as *transcriptomics* and *expressomics*.

Recently, the number of *-omes* has increased at an astonishing pace. For example, the entire set of molecular interactions in a cell was known as the *interactome*: earlier this year, the term *negatome* was coined for proteins unlikely to interact (3). Other scientific articles published recently described *-omic* disciplines such as *N-terminomics* (4) and *seromics* (5).

Coining new terms that end in *-omics* is not limited to the realm of biology, though. Other fields also have neologisms that sound somewhat biological. Take, for example Joel Waldfogel's *Scroogenomics* which is a book containing insight on the economics of parsimony and holiday gift-giving (6).

We have not even begun to construct a comprehensive list of all *-omes* here. But as a sign of the postgenomic times, just as there are repositories that store *-omic* data, there are also Web sites that list and define *-omic* fields. Even after taking a quick look through a couple of these databases, I found it hard to verify if all of the listed terms had received the blessings of the scientific community. Now, here's a thought: perhaps, what we really need is a *pseudonome* containing all the bogus ones.

Anirban Mahapatra American Chemical Society

10.1021/cb1000873 Published online April 16, 2010 © 2010 by American Chemical Society

TEditor's, FTTER

REFERENCES

- 1. Online Etymology Dictionary [cited Apr 5, 2010], available from http://www.etymonline.com/.
- Scientists crack "entire genetic code" of cancer, BBC News, Dec, 16, 2009 [cited Apr 5, 2010], available from http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/health/8414124.stm.
- 3. Smialowski, P., Pagel, P., Wong, P., Brauner, B., Dunger, I., Fobo, G., Frishman, G., Montrone, C., Rattei, T., Frishman, D., and Ruepp, A. (2010) The Negatome database: a reference set of non-interacting protein pairs, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 38, D540 D544.
- Prudova A., Auf dem Keller, U., Butler, G. S., Overall, C. M. (2010) Multiplex N-terminome analysis of MMP-2 and MMP-9 substrate degradomes by iTRAQ-TAILS quantitative proteomics, *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* (First Published on March 20, 2010, DOI: 10.1074/mcp.M000050-MCP201).
- Gnjatic, S., Ritter, E., Büchler, M. W., Giese, N. A., Brors, B., Frei, C., Murray, A., Halama, N., Zömig, I., Chen, Y. T., Andrews, C., Ritter, G., Old, L. J., Odunsi, K., and Jäger, D. (2010) Seromic profiling of ovarian and pancreatic cancer, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 5088–5093.
- 6. Waldfogel, J. (2009) *Scroogenomics: Why You Shouldn't Buy Presents for the Holidays*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.